

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/064,540 | 07/25/2002 | Ryo Suzuki | JP920010250U 1271 | |
| 25299 7: | 590 08/29/2003 | | • | |
| IBM CORPORATION PO BOX 12195 DEPT 9CCA, BLDG 002 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HO, TAN | |
| RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC | | 27709 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2821 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - ^ | | X Y | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| : | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| 4. Offic Action Commons | 10/064,540 | SUZUKI ET AL. | | | |
| Offic Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Tan Ho | 2821 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-8 and 15</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/064,540

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 10 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 15, line 4, "the first and second antennae" should be changed to --the first and second antennas--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Odachi et al (US Patent 6,346,916).

Odachi et al disclose, in figures 11 and 12A, an antenna device comprising a an insulating film 13, a first radio wave resonator 21 and a second resonator 22 formed on the insulating film, a first connection cable 25 coupled to the feed point of the first resonator, and a second connection cable 15 coupled to the second resonator.

♣ Application/Control Number: 10/064,540

Art Unit: 2821

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Qi et al (US Patent 5,966,098).

Qi et al disclose, in figure 1A and 1B, an antenna system for an RF data communication device comprising a body 14 a cover 12 having a display 16, wherein the cover contains a connection cable coupled to a radio wave resonator (22,24).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Odachi et al.

The patent to Odachi et al, described above, differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose the specific kind of dielectric material, however the PET and Pen material are well known in the art and therefore they represent an obvious expedient. The specific thickness of the insulating film is considered an obvious matter of design choice depending upon the desired characteristic of the antenna device.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-8 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

-- Application/Control Number: 10/064,540

Art Unit: 2821

7. The patents to Masaki et al, Snowdon, Ayala et al, Tsunnekawa, and Klostermann are cited as of interest showing the antenna system similar to that disclosed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Ho whose telephone number is (703)308-4080. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (703)308-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

8/22/2003

TAN HO PRIMARY EXAMINER